

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,521	10/19/2001	Richard Romano	150.1023	6035	
7590 10/02/2003			EXAMINER		
Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC			\ CRANSON JI	CRANSON JR, JAMES W	
14th Floor 485 Seventh Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10018			2875		

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		A - I - A - N	A = 1 = = = 4 = 1	- W			
ابي	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. •			
•		10/038,521	ROMANO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication	James W Cranson	2875				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	tne correspondence addre	3SS			
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply  bely within the statutory minimum of thirty (3  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH  tte, cause the application to become ABAN	r be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	1 July 2003 .					
2a)		This action is non-final.					
3)	,—						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-103 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		and L			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-45,72,74,78-86,8</u>	8 <mark>8-90,92-95 and 100-103</mark> is/are	withdrawn from conside	ration			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>64-69,71,77,87 and 99</u> is/are allowed	ed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 46,47,58,60,70,73,75,76,91 and 96	<u>-98</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>48-57,59,61-63</u> is/are objected to.						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	/or election requirement.					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		*,			
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2002</u> is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12) 🗌 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in App	lication No				
* 8	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E see the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
	)  The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	- ·					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ormal Patent Application (PTO-				
S Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/038,521

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The drawings were received on 7/2/2003. These drawings are accepted by the examiner.

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-45,72,74,78-86,88-90,92-95 and 100-103 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim and further, claims 1-45,72,74,78-86,88-90,92-95 and 100-103 were canceled in Paper No. 11. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 46-47, 58, 60, 70, 73, 75, 76, 91, and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,980,066 to Belliveau et al.

Regarding claims 46-47, 60, 73, 91 and 96:

Belliveau et al. discloses a wash light projector with multiple beam shapes comprising: light source (72); optical element or plurality of optical elements movable from no light on element (188d, 188d' positions where opening do not contain a lens element, column 5, lines 42-45, 62-64) to most of light on element (188b,288b), and plural intermediate positions (188a,288a,188c,288c), wherein optical elements is comprised of a plurality of radially sectioned

Application/Control Number: 10/038,521

Art Unit: 2875

4

sub-elements (column 5, lines 30-33, "having a plurality of radically extending grooves or lenticules").

Regarding claims 58 and 70, according to claims 46 or 60 including lenticular arrays (column 5 lines 49-64).

Regarding claims 75 and 76, according to claims 46 or 60, comprising motors moving optical elements, (column 5, lines 20-25)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 97 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belliveau in view of USPN 4,797,795 to Callahan. Belliveau doe not disclose using remote control in a projector lighting system. Callahan teaches using a remote control console to control projection lighting systems. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Belliveau with the remote control console as taught by Callahan. The purpose is to allow the system to be operable from a remote location.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 48-57,59 and 61-63, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 64-69, 71, 77, 87 and 99 are allowed.

the art of record.

The limitation of "a base having an opening formed therein" combined the limitation of "with a plurality of optical sub-elements movably secured to the base, each sub-element movable between a first position in which a beam of light passing through the opening does not impinge upon the optical sub-element, a second position in which substantially all of the beam of light impinges upon the optical sub-element, and a plurality of intermediate positions in which a portion of the beam of light impinges upon the optical sub-element." is not disclosed or taught in

The limitation above is in claims 64-69,71, 77, 87, and 99 because independent claim 64 has the limitation and the claims 63-69, 71, 77, and 99 depend on claim 64. Claim 87 is independent.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W Cranson whose telephone number is 703-305-5514.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30A.M.- 5:00P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandy O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703,305-4900.

September 8, 2003

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800